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Abstract
To achieve the best possible performance when joining two or more tables in the SQL procedure, a few considerations should be kept in mind. This presentation explores options that can be used to influence the type of join algorithm selected (i.e., step-loop, sort-merge, index, and hash) by the optimizer. Attendees learn how to add a little magic with MAGIC=101, MAGIC=102, MAGIC=103, IDXWHERE=Yes, and BUFFERSIZE= options to influence the SQL optimizer to achieve the best possible performance when joining tables.

Introduction
The SQL procedure is a simple and flexible tool for joining tables of data together. Certainly many of the join techniques can be accomplished using other methods, but the simplicity and flexibility found in the SQL procedure makes it especially interesting. This paper presents several options MAGIC=101, MAGIC=102, MAGIC=103, IDXWHERE=Yes, and BUFFERSIZE= to influence the SQL optimizer in selecting the most efficient join algorithm possible.

Why Join Anyway?
As relational database systems continue to grow in popularity, the need to access normalized data stored in separate tables becomes increasingly important. By relating matching values in key columns in one table with key columns in two or more tables, information can be retrieved as if the data were stored in one huge file. Consequently, the process of joining data from two or more tables can provide new and exciting insights into data relationships.

Example Tables
A relational database is simply a collection of tables. Each table contains one or more columns and one or more rows of data. The examples presented in this paper apply an example database consisting of three tables: CUSTOMERS, MOVIES, and ACTORS. Each table appears below.

CUSTOMERS
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CUST_NO</th>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>CITY</th>
<th>STATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11321</td>
<td>John Smith</td>
<td>Miami</td>
<td>FL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44555</td>
<td>Alice Jones</td>
<td>Baltimore</td>
<td>MD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21713</td>
<td>Ryan Adams</td>
<td>Atlanta</td>
<td>GA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MOVIES
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CUST_NO</th>
<th>MOVIE_ID</th>
<th>RATING</th>
<th>CATEGORY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>44555</td>
<td>1011</td>
<td>PG-13</td>
<td>Adventure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21713</td>
<td>3090</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>Comedy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44555</td>
<td>2198</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>Comedy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37753</td>
<td>4456</td>
<td>PG</td>
<td>Suspense</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ACTORS
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MOVIE_ID</th>
<th>LEADING_ACTOR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1011</td>
<td>Mel Gibson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2198</td>
<td>Clint Eastwood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3090</td>
<td>Sylvester Stallone</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PROC SQL Join Algorithms

Several PROC SQL join algorithms are available to the SQL optimizer. Based on the constructed query and the underlying table structures, the SQL optimizer determines which of the four available join algorithms to use in executing the join.

- **Nested Loop** – A nested loop join algorithm may be selected by the SQL optimizer when processing small tables of data where one table is considerably smaller than the other table, the join condition does not contain an equality condition, first row matching is optimized, or using a sort-merge or hash join has been eliminated.

- **Sort-Merge** – A sort-merge join algorithm may be selected by the SQL optimizer when the tables are small to medium size and an index or hash join algorithm have been eliminated from consideration.

- **Index** – An index join algorithm may be selected by the SQL optimizer when indexes created on each of the columns participating in the join relationship will improve performance.

- **Hash** – A hash join algorithm may be selected by the SQL optimizer when sufficient memory is available to the system, and the BUFFERSIZE option is large enough to store the smaller of the tables into memory.

Influencing the SQL Optimizer with Magic

The SQL procedure supports various options to influence the execution of specific join algorithms. The following SQL procedure options are available:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MAGIC=101</td>
<td>Influences the SQL optimizer to select the Nested Loop join algorithm.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAGIC=102</td>
<td>Influences the SQL optimizer to select the Sort-Merge join algorithm.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAGIC=103</td>
<td>Influences the SQL optimizer to select the Hash join algorithm.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the next example, the tables CUSTOMERS and MOVIES are specified in an equijoin construct, as illustrated below. Each table has a common column, CUST_NO which connects rows together when the value of CUST_NO is equal, and is a way to restrict what rows will be included in the join results.

**CUSTOMERS**

- CUST_NO
- Name
- City
- State

**MOVIES**

- CUST_NO
- Movie_ID
- Rating
- Category

Specifying MAGIC=101

The following SQL procedure code and corresponding SAS Log shows the MAGIC=101 option as the option of choice to influence the optimizer in selecting a nested loop join algorithm for executing the join query.

**SQL Code**

```sql
PROC SQL MAGIC=101;
SELECT *
FROM CUSTOMERS, MOVIES
WHERE CUSTOMERS.CUST_NO = MOVIES.CUST_NO;
QUIT;
```
Log Results

```sql
PROC SQL MAGIC=101;
SELECT *
FROM CUSTOMERS,
    MOVIES
WHERE CUSTOMERS.CUST_NO =
    MOVIES.CUST_NO;
NOTE: PROC SQL planner chooses sequential loop join.
QUIT;
NOTE: PROCEDURE SQL used (Total process time):
    real time 0.02 seconds
    cpu time 0.01 seconds
```

**Specifying MAGIC=102**

The following SQL procedure code and corresponding SAS Log shows the MAGIC=102 option being specified to influence the optimizer in selecting a sort-merge join algorithm for executing the join query.

**SQL Code**

```sql
PROC SQL MAGIC=102;
SELECT *
FROM CUSTOMERS, MOVIES
WHERE CUSTOMERS.CUST_NO =
    MOVIES.CUST_NO;
QUIT;
```

Log Results

```sql
PROC SQL MAGIC=102;
SELECT *
FROM CUSTOMERS,
    MOVIES
WHERE CUSTOMERS.CUST_NO =
    MOVIES.CUST_NO;
NOTE: PROC SQL planner chooses merge join.
QUIT;
NOTE: PROCEDURE SQL used (Total process time):
    real time 0.15 seconds
    cpu time 0.04 seconds
```
**Specifying MAGIC=103**

The following SQL procedure code and corresponding SAS Log shows the MAGIC=103 option being specified to influence the optimizer in selecting a hash join algorithm for executing the join query.

**SQL Code**

```sql
PROC SQL MAGIC=103;
SELECT *
FROM CUSTOMERS, MOVIES
WHERE CUSTOMERS.CUST_NO  =
      MOVIES.CUST_NO;
QUIT;
```

**Log Results**

```sql
PROC SQL MAGIC=103;
FROM CUSTOMERS,
    MOVIES
WHERE CUSTOMERS.CUST_NO  =
      MOVIES.CUST_NO;
NOTE: PROC SQL planner chooses merge join.
NOTE: A merge join has been transformed to a hash join.
QUIT;
NOTE: PROCEDURE SQL used (Total process time):
  real time  0.15 seconds
  cpu time  0.04 seconds
```

**Specifying the IDXWHERE= Data Set Option**

In the next example, the tables MOVIES and ACTORS are specified in an equijoin construct, as illustrated below. Each table has a common column, MOVIE_ID which connects rows together when the value of MOVIE_ID is equal, and, as mentioned earlier, is a way to restrict what rows will be included in the join results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MOVIES</th>
<th>ACTORS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cust_no</td>
<td>Movie_ID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✔Movie_ID</td>
<td>✔Leading_actor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rating</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The IDXWHERE= data set option can be specified to influence the SQL optimizer to use the most efficient available index (if one exists) to execute a query. Rather than processing the rows in one or more tables sequentially, specifying an IDXWHERE= data set option, forces the optimizer to identify the most efficient index to use during processing. Care should be used to avoid using this data set option with a small table since it can impede performance because the SAS software would need to traverse the index looking for matches instead of allowing the software to process data using a sequential table scan.
SQL Code

```sql
PROC SQL;
    SELECT MOVIES.MOVIE_ID, RATING, LEADING_ACTOR
    FROM MOVIES(IDXWHERE=Yes),
        ACTORS
    WHERE MOVIES.MOVIE_ID =
        ACTORS.MOVIE_ID;
QUIT;
```

**Specifying the BUFFERSIZE= Option**
If you have surplus virtual memory, you can achieve faster access to matching rows from one or more small input tables by using Hash techniques. The **BUFFERSIZE=** option can be used to let the SQL procedure take advantage of hash techniques on larger join tables. The default **BUFFERSIZE=n** option is 64000 when not specified. In the next example, a **BUFFERSIZE=256000** is specified to utilize available memory to load rows. The result is faster performance because additional memory is available to conduct the join reducing the number of data swaps the SAS System has to perform from the slower secondary storage.

SQL Code

```sql
PROC SQL _method=BUFFERSIZE=256000;
    SELECT MOVIES.MOVIE_ID, RATING, LEADING_ACTOR
    FROM MOVIES,
        ACTORS
    WHERE MOVIES.MOVIE_ID =
        ACTORS.MOVIE_ID;
QUIT;
```

**Log Results**

```text
NOTE: SQL execution methods chosen are:
    sqxs1ct
    sqxjhs
    sqxs1c( MOVIES )
    sqxs1c( ACTORS )

NOTE: PROCEDURE SQL used (Total process time):
real time           0.04 seconds
cpu time            0.03 seconds
```

**Conclusion**
The SQL procedure is a simple and flexible tool for performing a multitude of operations, including joining tables of data. It provides users with a variety of ways to influence the SQL optimizer. This paper highlighted several SQL options by illustrating the MAGIC=101, MAGIC=102, MAGIC=103, IDXWHERE=Yes, and BUFFERSIZE= and how it influences the SQL optimizer to select alternate and, sometimes more efficient, join algorithms that might not ordinarily be selected on its own.
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